

RE: RM 10811 + Other's Related.

Date: 10-25-2003

With regard to the FISTS proposal I think that morse code should be only retained for the EXTRA Class amateur radio license. So I can not support this at all.

Upon review today there were about 564 comment filed, and I have today read only 384 comments of this requested change. I find that about 1 in 10 oppose this suggested change on a average of reviewed comments filled with the FCC.

I find a interesting statment made by Scott Lichsinn, KB 0 NLY in witch he of sorts makes use of a farmer term "BEAT A DEAD HORSE" with the used of code. This is a true statment, I like it it give's color to the comments. His facts are true and common in ham radio today. Code is a dead horse with the vast number of comments filled on the first round of RM to remove it from the radio service in witch has been a road block. HOWEVER, The FISTS made boasts of +10,000 US members, then were's the numbers in this filling for a rule change the next to the last day and only 564 have filled, with a extimated +505 for the RM if the numbers keep pace!

Clearly we have to keep code for the EXTRA class, because it would be a insult not to! Cldearly the non-code people in the USA must have equal treatment as to the world wide countrys opt out of "CW" or morse code requirment.

Of the bulk of the comments reviewed, It looked if it was a carbon copy, or a cut and paste computer filling for the lazy person with a bulk of the fillings on this suggested future RM proposal!

Reading the true commenst that were not carbon copy's I find some merit in what they put in print. I saved hard copy for later use!

The most upsetting mater is the making the Extra harder to take, as well perhaps the general from comments filled. If this is done the test will be harder than the Commerical Part 13 Licenses issued by the FCC today. The word Amateur would have to be changed to Professional, not only a insult, but absurb to think the Amateur license would be harder that then the persons who fix our aircraft radios, radars, and maritime radios.

Making the Extra test harder will be a road block, and the making of the General harder will also be a road block! The TEC license could stand some future review at the next National VEC & FCC meeting but it is on a equal footing with the rest of the world.

Perhaps us old time radio operators, SWL'ers, and Past members of the other radio services wish to make it harder to become a ham!

Most old "PH-AR-T-S" want to keep the kids for getting driver licenses, and for our kids to grow up! Well AR stand for Amateur Radio, and T.S. you can place that in its respect. The PH well public hippocrite's! P.H.A.R.T.S. so again the T.S. must be

the Brick Wall we erect in the middle of the radio hobby to stop reasonable access to all who who pass the current written tests. Road Blocks are used by hypocrite's, zealot's, who want to make ham radio smaller.

Smaller ham radio will bring on little progress in new jobs and advancements in ham radio! I suspect it will stop its numbers cold by the year 2022!

Smaller numbers of ham radio operators will make retail outlets for ham radio go out of business. Sales jobs will be lost, manufacturing, repairing, and support equipment business will suffer if a step backwards takes place.

I found one fellow's comments about the post 911 events that there was no increase in "CW" nets on HF because of WTC attack, The remarks by FISTS it will help future problems like 911 is out of line with actual facts.

Even if FISTS could get 100% of its +10,000 US members to send a letter to the FCC, it will not compare to the +250,000 tech's of today.

The numbers are not there to support the FISTS. The only valid thing the FISTS seek to protect is the current CW sub bands. This has merit, lots of merit! This is a good idea, no band changes from the FCC or ARRL would be a good thing!

NO WORKING SPELL CHECK AT THIS INPUT WEB SITE TODAY, DECODE AS BEST YOU CAN, SORRY, AND THANKS!

Dale E. Reich
141 North Center St.
Seville, Ohio 44273 - 9504

telephone 330-769-3071 at times